

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/848,583	05/03/2001	Wolfgang Matthes	A-2820	8707
24131 7	7590 11/27/2006		EXAMINER	
LERNER GREENBERG STEMER LLP			PRONE, JASON D	
P O BOX 2480 HOLLYWOO) D. FL 33022-2480		ART UNIT PAPER NUMB	
			3724	
			DATE MAILED: 11/27/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			Sá
<u> </u>		Application No.	Applicant(s)
•		09/848,583	MATTHES ET AL.
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
		Jason Prone	3724
T	he MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address
A SHOR WHICHE - Extension after SIX (- If NO peri - Failure to Any reply	TENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY EVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DAILS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. od for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, received by the Office later than three months after the mailing stent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status	•		
2a)∐ Thi 3)∐ Sin	sponsive to communication(s) filed on $07 N_0$ is action is FINAL . 2b) This note this application is in condition for allowants and in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro	
Disposition	of Claims		
4a) 5)□ Cla 6)⊠ Cla 7)□ Cla	aim(s) 1-4 and 6-11 is/are pending in the app Of the above claim(s) 11 is/are withdrawn fram(s) is/are allowed. aim(s) 1-4 and 6-10 is/are rejected. aim(s) is/are objected to. aim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	rom consideration.	
Application	Papers		
10)⊠ The Apr Rer	e specification is objected to by the Examiner drawing(s) filed on <u>03 May 2001</u> is/are: a) bolicant may not request that any objection to the coplacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the contraction of the	☑ accepted or b)☐ objected to b drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority unde	er 35 U.S.C. § 119	•	
12)⊠ Ack a)⊠ A 1.∑ 2.[3.[nowledgment is made of a claim for foreign b) Some * c) None of: Certified copies of the priority documents Certified copies of the priority documents	have been received. have been received in Application ity documents have been receive (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No d in this National Stage
Attachment(s)		🗖	
2) Notice of I	References Cited (PTO-892) Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) In Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) (s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary (Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:	te

Art Unit: 3724

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 1-4, 6, 7, and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Ito (4,922,773).

In regards to claim 1, Ito discloses the same invention including a transport device (53) having a course of motion (Fig. 4), a first drive for driving the transport device (57), a stroke device (14 and 24) for moving knives (13 and 23) in a knife motion for performing the trimming of the margins (Column 8 lines 20-25), a second drive for driving for driving the stroke device (Drive mechanism (not shown) in Column 8 lines 20-21), the first drive and the second drive being separate (57 is clearly independent from Drive mechanism (not shown)), and both drives being connected to the other via a control system for setting the course of motion of the transport device to the knife motion as a function of product format (70).

In regards to claims 2 and 3, Ito discloses the cutting device is capable of trimming margins of joined/stitched-together sheets of paper (7).

In regards to claim 4, Ito discloses the control system includes a first and second control unit (Fig. 6), the first drive being linked to the first control unit (Fig. 6), a second

Art Unit: 3724

drive being linked to the second control unit (Fig. 6), and a connection linking the first control unit to the second control unit (73).

In regards to claims 6 and 7, Ito discloses the first drive is connected by the first control unit and the second drive by the second control unit to a machine control unit (74) and the machine control unit had a human-machine interface (71 and 72).

In regards to claim 10, the drives are motors (57 and drive mechanism) and at least one of the control units has a memory-programmed controller (75 and 76).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 8 and 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ito in view of Cannon et al. (4,553,080). Ito discloses the invention including the first and second drives are motors (57 and drive mechanism).

However, Ito fails to disclose position transducers connected to the first control unit and drive and to the second control unit and drive. Cannon et al teaches that it is old and well known to exchange encoders for position transducers (Background of the Invention). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time of the invention, to have provided Ito with position transducers instead of encoders, as taught by Cannon et al., to provide more a less complex and cheaper apparatus.

Art Unit: 3724

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to the response 07 November 2006 have been fully considered and are persuasive. The rejection in the previous Office action has been replaced with the rejection above. In Ito, the knife holders 14 and 24 are clearly stroke devices that move the knives 13 and 23. Without the knives being held by 14 and 24 they could not be moved. The drive mechanism (Column 8 lines 1 and 20-25) clearly drives the stroke device/knives in a knife motion. Applicant reiterates what the specification discloses that the drive mechanism drives an aligning member (41), a hold member (90) for pushing the book against the base plate, the side cutter (49) and the bottom cutter unit (10). Motor 57, which is considered the first drive, clearly drives transport device 53. The statement provided in applicant's arguments clearly shows that the drive mechanism does not drive the transport device 53 and this is because independent drive motor 57 drives item 53. Item 57 and drive mechanism are clearly independent drive inherently controlled by item 70.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jason Prone whose telephone number is (571) 272-4513. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:00-4:30, Mon - (every other) Fri.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Boyer D. Ashley can be reached on (571) 272-4502. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 3724

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

November 21, 2006

Patent Examiner Jason Prone Art Unit 3724

T.C. 3700